27

28

## 1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 C 02-05284 JW Unither Pharma, Inc., et al., Nos. 9 C 03-0415 JW Plaintiffs, 10 Related Case Nos. C 03-5090 JW v. 11 C 03-5878 JW The Daily Wellness Company, 12 Defendant. 13 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STRIKE HERBALIFE'S 14 STATEMENT OF RECENT DECISION Unither Pharma, Inc., et al., 15 Plaintiffs, 16 v. 17 Herbalife International, Inc. Defendant. 18 19 Herbalife International, Inc. 20 Plaintiff, 21 v. 22 Unither Pharma, Inc., et al., 23 Defendants. 24 25 Currently before the Court is Unither's Motion to Strike Herbalife's Statement of Recent Decision. 26

The Court finds this matter to be suitable for disposition without oral arguments. See Civ. L. R. 7-1(b). Accordingly, the Court vacates the hearing presently scheduled for December 5, 2005.

1	On October 19, 2005, Herbalife filed its Statement of Recent Decision pursuant to Civil Local		
2	Rules 7-3(d). Unither moves to strike on the ground that Herbalife's statement is procedurally defective or		
3	in the alternative, seeks leave to respond to Herbalife's statement.		
4	Civil Local Rule 7-3(d) permits parties to bring to the Court's attention a relevant judicial opinion		
5	published after the date the opposition or reply has been filed without argument. Civ. L.R. 7-3(d).		
6	However, the rule provides that no additional memoranda may be filed without prior court's approval. Id.		
7	Herbalife's filing of the additional papers without first seeking the Court's approval violates Rule 7-		
8	3(d). Accordingly, the Court grants Unither's motion to strike. Additionally, since Rule 7-3(d) does not		
9	permit a party to submit arguments along with statement of recent decision, the Court will not consider the		
10	arguments advance by either parties. Unither's request for leave to respond is effectively denied.		
11	Incidentally, the Court is already familiar with the recent decisions submitted by the parties.		
12			
13	$\circ$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$		
14	Dated: November 29, 2005  IAMES WARE		
15	United States District Judge		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	2		

## For the Northern District of California

1	THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF TH	IIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:
2	Anup Tikku Anupt@prestongates.com Dina Grinshpun grinshpun@fr.com Juanita R. Brooks brooks@fr.com Karen I. Boyd boyd@fr.com Limin Zheng zheng@fr.com Michael J. Bettinger mikeb@prestongates.com Rachel R. Davidson racheld@prestongates.com Timothy P. Walker timothyw@prestongates.com	
3		
4		
5	Rachel R. Davidson racheld@prestongates.com Timothy P. Walker timothyw@prestongates.com	
6	Timothy 1. Walker timothy we prestonguesteom	
7	Dated: November 29, 2005	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
8		
9		By:/s/ JW Chambers Ronald L. Davis
10		<b>Courtroom Deputy</b>
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		
27		
28		3
20		S
	II	